



PCT/EP200 4 / 0 1 2 7 4 5



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE


The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed


Stephen Hordley

Dated 11 November 2004

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



13NOV03 E851700-1 003022
P01/7700 0.00-0326415.7



Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

12 NOV 2003

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

SPE 03/06

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0326415.7

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

SOLVAY POLYOLEFINS EUROPE-BELGIUM
(SOCIÉTÉ ANONYME)
RUE DU PRINCE ALBERT 44
1050 BRUXELLES
BELGIUM

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

BELGIUM as A/L 7161748001

4. Title of the invention

POLYMER OF ETHYLENE

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

SMITH, Julian Philip Howard
BP INTERNATIONAL LIMITED
PATENTS & AGREEMENTS
CHERTSEY ROAD
SUNBURY-ON-THAMES
MIDDLESEX
TW16 7LN
UNITED KINGDOM

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

18556001

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

YES

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
 - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
 - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 12 ✓

Claim(s) 1 ✓

Abstract 1 ✓

Drawing(s) 1 + ✓

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) 1 ✓

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature Dave Hawkins Date 12.11.03

HAWKINS, David George for and on behalf of SMITH, Julian Philip Howard

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom.

01932 764092

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 08459 500505.*
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.*
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.*
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.*
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.*
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.*

DUPLICATE

POLYMER OF ETHYLENE

The present invention relates to a new polymer of ethylene. It also relates to a process for the preparation of this polymer. Finally, it relates to a pipe which can be moulded from this polymer.

One important application for polymers of ethylene is the manufacture of pipes, for instance large diameter pipes. These pipes are typically formed by extruding the polymer through a die, and the resultant pipe must be tough and strong enough for appropriate commercial uses.

It happens frequently that, when polymers of ethylene designed to be extruded into pipes are processed at high extrusion rates, the melt temperature of the polymer rises considerably, and the dimensional stability of the final pipe suffers due to a phenomenon called "wall sag". This phenomenon occurs when the polymer lacks the melt strength which enables it to support its own weight at a certain temperature. This phenomenon can cause serious non-uniformity in pipe wall thickness.

One known way to reduce wall sag is by manually adjusting the die eccentricity, until an acceptable wall thickness profile is achieved. This tedious trial and error procedure can take up to four attempts to get the right profile. In Intern. Polymer Processing VII, n° 2, June 1992, pages 140-143, D.N. Githuku and A.J. Giacomini have proposed to reduce wall sag by rotating the pipe during cooling. This proposal remains cumbersome because it entails complicated numerical simulations which implies the use of additional hardware and control devices.

It has been discovered that modifying the mechanical and rheological properties of the polymers themselves, designed to be extruded into pipes, could solve the problem of wall sag.

Accordingly, it is a first object of the present invention to provide a new polymer of ethylene, designed to be extruded into pipes.

It is another object of this invention to provide a process for the preparation of said polymer of ethylene.

5 It is still another object of this invention to provide a pipe which can be moulded from said polymer of ethylene.

The enclosed single Figure diagrammatically shows the results of extrusion trials, using a polymer of ethylene according to the prior art and a polymer of ethylene according to the invention, to produce large diameter, heavy walled pipes. Pipe profile
10 measurements taken at time of extrusion show melt sag when using the former versus the uniform wall distribution obtained when using the latter

In its first aspect, the invention provides a polymer of ethylene which has :
a μ_0/μ_2 ratio higher than 13,05; and
a high load melt index HLMI lower than 8 g / 10 min.

15 In the above definition :

μ_0 is the dynamic viscosity of the polymer, expressed in Pa.s and measured at a shear rate of 1 s^{-1} at a temperature of 190°C ;

μ_2 is the dynamic viscosity of the polymer, expressed in Pa.s and measured at a shear rate of 100 s^{-1} at a temperature of 190°C (For the purposes of the present invention,
20 these viscosities have been measured by means of the capillary rheometric device disclosed in document EP-A-0 210 689)

HLMI is the high load melt index of the polymer, which gives an indication of its melt viscosity and hence of its average molecular weight. It is determined in a melt indexer in terms of the melt output (g / 10 min) under a given load (21,6 kg) through a
25 standard die orifice. The determination of the HLMI value is made according to ASTM D 1238 condition F, 21,6 kg at 190°C .

The polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention features a μ_0/μ_2 ratio higher than 13,05; higher values of the μ_0/μ_2 ratio reflects a relatively broad distribution of the molecular masses and a higher melt strength of the polymer; hence, preferably,
30 this μ_0/μ_2 ratio is higher than 14, more preferably higher than 15. Generally, this ratio is not higher than 20.

Values for μ_0 of the polymer in accordance with the invention are generally comprised between $38 \cdot 10^3$ and $45 \cdot 10^3$ Pa.s. Higher values for μ_0 are favourable to a

decrease of the wall sag. Values for μ_0 are thus preferably higher than $39 \cdot 10^3$ Pa.s; they are preferably lower than $43 \cdot 10^3$ Pa.s.

The polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention features a HLMI of lower than 8 g/10 min. Preferably, the HLMI value of the polymer is lower than 7 g/10 min, more preferably lower than 6,5. Values of HLMI should preferably not be lowered to an extent rendering the processability of the polymer difficult and not be too high as to adversely affect the mechanical properties of the pipe to be extruded therefrom. Hence, the HLMI of the polymer is preferably not lower than 3 g/10 min. Interesting results have been obtained with polymers featuring a HLMI comprised between 4,5 and 6 g/10 min.

Preferably, the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention also features other properties defined hereafter.

It is preferred that said polymer has a density D (measured according to ASTM D 792 standard) comprised between 930 and 955 kg / m³, more preferably between 935 and 950 kg / m³, most preferably between 938 and 948 kg / m³.

It is also preferred that said polymer has a Pent test value higher than 150. The Pent test (determined in accordance with ASTM F 1473-94 standard) is a measure of the environmental stress crack resistance of the polymer. More preferably, said polymer has a Pent test value higher than 350, most preferably higher than 450.

It is also preferred that said polymer has a polydispersity index MWD (represented by the ratio M_z / M_n wherein M_z is the z-average molecular weight and M_n the number-average molecular weight (as defined for instance in H. Mark and N. Gaylord: Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology, vol. 3, pages 612-616, Interscience Publishers, 1965) determined at 140 °C by using a Waters 150 CV gel permeation chromatograph with 1,2,4 trichlorobenzene as solvent) greater than 50, preferably greater than 60, most preferably greater than 70.

In accordance with the invention, the polymer of ethylene may be a copolymer of ethylene and at least one higher alpha-olefin. The comonomer, or higher alpha-olefin, is generally selected among those containing from 3 to 12 carbon atoms, preferably among propylene, 1-butene, 1-hexene and 1-octene.

1-hexene is especially preferred as comonomer. The amount of comonomer which may be present in the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention is generally comprised between 0,1 and 10 weight %, preferably between 0,4 and 5 weight

%.

In another aspect, the invention provides a process for the preparation of a polymer of ethylene which has a μ_0/μ_2 ratio higher than 13,05 and a high load melt index HLMI lower than 8 g / 10 min.

5 According to the said process, ethylene, and possibly at least one higher alpha-olefin as defined above, is contacted with a catalyst comprising chromium supported on a silica-titania support.

Catalysts comprising chromium supported on silica-titania supports are well known in the art. A general disclosure of these catalysts and their ways of preparation
10 and activation is to be found e.g. in Advances in Catalysis, volume 33 (1985), pages 76-80 and in Journal of Catalysis, 82, (1983) pages 118-126.

The catalyst usable in practising the process of the invention presents the following general properties.

Said catalyst comprises chromium on the support. The amount of chromium on
15 said support is generally comprised between 0,05 and 5 weight %, preferably between 0,1 and 3 weight %, most preferably between 0,8 and 1,5 weight %, such weight % being based on the weight of the support. The chromium is usually in the form of hexavalent chromium oxide, monodispersed on the surface of the support, and generally obtained by impregnating the support with a chromium compound and then calcining in
20 the presence of oxidizing ambient to activate the catalyst (as described hereafter).

In accordance with the present invention, the definition "silica-titania support" intends to designate a silica support containing titania. The support is generally coated with a layer of titania by any known way in the art. That means that, according to the invention, the titania is preferably not incorporated by a known coprecipitation method,
25 like the coprecipitation of hydrous titania along with a silica gel. Preferably, the silica support is coated with a layer of titania by allowing a titanium ester to react with the superficial hydroxyl groups of the support. Unreacted ester groups are then burned away during the calcining mentioned hereabove.

The amount of titania present on the support is expressed by the corresponding
30 weight percentage of titanium, based on the weight of the support. The amount of titanium on said support is generally comprised between 1 and 10 weight %, preferably between 1 and 5 weight %, most preferably between 1,9 and 3,1 weight %.

The support generally has a specific surface area SA (measured in accordance

with British Standard BS 4359/1) comprised between 350 and 650 m^2/g , preferably between 400 and 600 m^2/g , most preferably between 450 and 550 m^2/g .

The support generally has a pore volume PV(measured by BET N_2 analysis using desorption isotherm and considering only radii of pores equal to at least 300 Angstroms) comprised between 0,8 and 3,5 ml/g, preferably between 1,3 and 3,0 ml/g, most preferably between 1,8 and 2,7 ml/g. The average diameter of the pores of the support is generally comprised between 50 and 300 Angstroms, preferably between 100 and 250 Angstroms, most preferably between 120 and 200 Angstroms.

As mentioned above, the catalyst must be activated by calcining it in the presence of oxidizing ambient. This activation is generally performed at a temperature comprised between 500 and 900 $^\circ\text{C}$, preferably between 530 and 750 $^\circ\text{C}$, most preferably between 570 and 700 $^\circ\text{C}$. Usually, the preferred oxidizing ambient is air. The activation is generally carried out for a time period comprised between 5 minutes and 50 hours, preferably between 2 and 24 hours, most preferably between 5 and 12 hours. This activation allows for at least a portion of any chromium in a lower valence to be converted to a hexavalent state. Preferably, the activation of the catalyst is carried out in a stream of fluidized air before the activation temperature is reached and which is in turn replaced by an inert ambient when the catalyst is subsequently cooled.

The best results have been obtained with a catalyst resulting from the activation of the solid granular product commercialized by The PQ Corporation under the trade name CM-25305.

The process for the preparation of the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention is carried out under any known polymerization conditions. The polymerization may be conducted in solution, in suspension or in the gas phase, in a continuous or batchwise way, for instance in one or a plurality of polymerization zones which include any reactor known in the art. For example, when a suspension polymerization is selected, a stirred reactor can be used for a batch process or the polymerization can be performed continuously in a loop reactor.

It is preferred to perform the process for the preparation of ethylene in accordance with the invention under slurry or gas phase polymerization conditions.

In accordance with the most preferred embodiment of this part of the invention, a slurry polymerization process (also called "particle form process" in this art) is carried out in an hydrocarbon diluent as inert polymerization medium. The most commonly

used diluents are lower alkanes, especially isobutane.

The polymerization temperature is kept below the temperature at which the polymer becomes soluble in the diluent. Said temperature is generally comprised between 80 and 110 °C, preferably between 85 and 95 °C.

5 The polymerization pressure is generally comprised between 0,7 and 5 MPa, preferably between 0,8 and 4,5 MPa.

The catalyst is kept in suspension and is contacted with ethylene, and possibly at least one comonomer as defined above, at sufficient pressure to maintain the diluent and at least a portion of the monomer(s) in the liquid phase. The pressure and temperature
10 are thus selected in such a way that solid particles of polymer are produced and recovered in that form. Catalyst concentration is generally such that the weight content thereof is comprised between 0,001 and 1 weight %, based on the weight of the contents of the reactor.

Hydrogen may be present in the polymerization zone. Generally, from 0,5 to 3
15 mole % of hydrogen, preferably from 1 to 2,5 mole %, based on the moles of the diluent in said polymerization zone, are present.

In accordance with another embodiment of the process for the preparation of the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention, the polymerization is carried out in the gas phase. Gas phase polymerization is generally carried out by placing a gaseous
20 stream comprising ethylene, and possibly at least one comonomer as defined above, in contact with the catalyst in a fluidized bed. The gaseous stream flow has then to be maintained at a level high enough to maintain the polymer in a fluidized state and is thus depending from the rate of formation of the polymer and the rate of consumption of the catalyst. The partial pressure of the ethylene may be lower or higher than the
25 atmospheric pressure, said partial pressure being generally comprised between the atmospheric pressure and 7 MPa, preferably between 0,2 and 5 MPa. The temperature is generally comprised between 30 and 150 °C. A dilution gas, inert towards the polymer, may be used.

In another embodiment of this invention, there is provided a pipe which is
30 mouldable from the polymer of ethylene described above. Said pipe can be moulded from said polymer of ethylene by any means known in the art, typically by any known extrusion moulding method. Information on processing said polymer of ethylene by extrusion methods can be found in Modern Plastics Encyclopedia, 1992, pages 240-244

and 250-256; further information on manufacturing pipes can be found in *Plastics Materials and Processes*, 1982, pages 591-592.

Thanks to the use of the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention, it is possible to increase the throughput capabilities thereof on the extrusion equipments used to mould it into pipes (typically by increasing the screw rotations per minute (RPM)) without impairing the dimensional stability of the final pipe product due to the "wall sag" phenomenon.

Furthermore, the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention substantially qualifies as so-called PE3408 resins according to the U.S. Plastics Pipe Institute, Inc's 2003 Technical Report TR-3 (Policies and Procedures for Developing Hydrostatic Design Basis, Pressure Design Basis, Strength Design Basis and Minimum Required Strengths Ratings for Thermoplastic Piping Materials or Pipe).

The following examples are provided to further illustrate the invention.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

A copolymer of ethylene and 1-hexene was prepared under continuous "particle form process" conditions, comprising contacting the catalyst with the monomers, employing a liquid filled loop reactor, having a volume of about 2 m³ with isobutane as the diluent. The reactor was operated to have a residence time of approximately 1,5 hours. The reactor temperature was varied over the range of 88°C to 99°C to control HLMI, and the pressure was 3,44 MPa. At steady state conditions, the isobutane feed rate was approximately 544 kg/h, the ethylene feed rate was about 272 kg/h, and the 1-hexene feed rate was varied to control the density of the product polymer, with an average flow of 12,9 l/h

The catalyst used was the catalyst commercialized under the trade denomination CM-25305 by The PQ Corporation containing approximately 1% chromium and 2,4% titanium, by weight. The catalyst was activated at 650 °C under air.

The properties of the recovered copolymer, which density D was 944 kg/m³, are collected in the following Table 1.

In this Table are also given, for comparison purposes :
comparable properties of a polymer of ethylene, extrudable into pipes, commercialised by Fina under the trade name Finathene HP401N;

comparable properties of a polymer of ethylene, extrudable into pipes,

commercialised by Nova under the trade name Novapol HD2007H;

comparable properties of a polymer of ethylene, extrudable into pipes,
commercialised by BP Solvay Polyethylene under the trade name Fortiflex K44-06-123
and which has been manufactured in the presence of an activated chromium-based
catalyst commercially available from Davison Catalysts, a division of W.R. Grace &
Co.

Table 1

Polymer	μ_0/μ_2	(Mz/Mn)	Pent (hrs)	HEMI (g/10 min)	μ_0 (Pas)	μ_2 (Pas)	D (kg/m ³)
HP401N	14,92	132,54	>100	9,14	33992	2279	945
HD2007H	13,65	80,232	>100	8,46	33563	2459	945
K44-06-123	13,05	66,864	>150	6,5	37102	2842	944
Example 1	15,58	77,108	468	5,9	41734	2679	944

The contents of the Table show that the polymer of ethylene in accordance with
the invention features equivalent processability, superior melt strength and excellent
environmental stress crack resistance when compared to the three other commercial
polymers. Even though the viscosity at 100 s^{-1} (μ_2) for the polymer of ethylene in
accordance with the invention is comparable to those of the other polymers, the
viscosity at 1 s^{-1} (μ_0) (representative of the melt strength) is far superior. In a typical
production environment, this allows the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the
invention to have better dimensional stability at the same operating conditions than the
three other commercial polymers a competitive resin. At higher throughputs (higher
temperatures), the polymer of ethylene in accordance with the invention would still
exhibit adequate melt strength where other resins would tend to sag and deform the pipe
wall.

Example 2

The polymer of ethylene commercialised by BP Solvay Polyethylene under the
trade denomination Fortiflex K44-06-123 (hereinafter called polymer C) and the
polymer of ethylene obtained in accordance with Example 1 (hereinafter called polymer
D) were compared in the production of pipes having an average diameter of 100 cm and

an average wall thickness of 100 mm. The night before the trial, production of this pipe size was stable at the machine upper limit of approximately 520 kg/hr using polymer C. The die was aligned to compensate for the wall sag typical for polymer C. The trial began by feeding polymer I in behind the polymer C, and machine control variables were held constant while the change in extruder throughput, amperes, and RPM were recorded. Dimensional measurements were taken on both polymers C and I after the trial was completed.

Overall, the switch from polymer C to polymer I increased production rate by 27 kg/hr (about 5%) on an extruder that was already at its maximum rpm limit. Melt temperature increased by 5,6 °C, and the amperes went from 450 to 475 A (about 6%). Most importantly, pipe produced from polymer I had a much more uniform wall compared to pipe produced from polymer C (see Table 2 below). The polymer I is designed for minimal wall sag, and the material did not flow from top to bottom as much as the polymer C. This phenomenon occurred even though the polymer I exited the extruder 5 °C hotter.

Table 2: Dimensional Results for pipes of $\varnothing = 100$ cm

Position (o'clock)	Die Gap (cm)	Polymer C wall (cm)	Polymer I wall (cm)
12:00	7,2	8,5	10,0
1:00		8,8	10,2
2:00		8,5	10,2
3:00	7,0	9,4	11,0
4:00		11,8	11,4
5:00		14,3	11,1
6:00	6,9	13,8	10,2
7:00		14,8	10,2
8:00		11,9	11,4
9:00	7,0	9,7	11,2
10:00		8,9	10,6
11:00		8,9	10,2
Average	7,0	10,8	10,7
3 σ	0,4	6,9	1,5
Min	6,9	8,5	10,0
Max	7,2	14,8	11,4
Δ	0,3	6,3	1,4
Δ % of Avg.	4,87%	58,45%	12,92%

Table 2 provides a clear indication of the improvement obtained in extruding polymer I to produce large diameter, heavy walled pipe. The results of both compared extrusion trials are also shown diagrammatically in the enclosed Figure.

The enclosed Figure diagrammatically shows the results of both extrusion trials, using Polymer C according to the prior art and Polymer I according to the invention, to produce large diameter, heavy walled pipes. Pipe profile measurements taken at time of extrusion show melt sag when using the former versus the uniform wall distribution obtained when using the latter (drawings are not to scale)

As can be seen in the Figure, the wall thickness distribution of the profile produced with Polymer I is much greater than that produced with Polymer C.

Statistically, the average wall thickness taken around each profile is very similar, at 10,7 cm for Polymer C profile versus 10;8 cm for Polymer I profile. However, as shown in the Figure, the melt sag apparent to Polymer C has been removed with utilization of Polymer I. This is reflected in the wall thickness measurements taken across the upper and lower arc segments of each profile as shown in Table 3 and the resulting average wall thickness for each arc segment.

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Upper and Lower Half of Each Profile Produced from Polymer C and Polymer I

Polymer C Profile			Polymer I Profile		
Arc Segment	Position	Measurement	Arc Segment	Position	Measurement
Upper	10:00	8,9	Upper	10:00	10,6
	11:00	8,9		11:00	10,2
	12:00	8,5		12:00	10,0
	1:00	8,8		1:00	10,2
	2:00	8,5		2:00	10,2
	Average*	8,72		Average*	10,24
Lower	4:00	11,8	Lower	4:00	11,4
	5:00	14,3		5:00	11,1
	6:00	13,8		6:00	10,2
	7:00	14,8		7:00	10,2
	8:00	11,9		8:00	11,4
	Average*	13,32		Average*	10,86

* Arc average does not include wall measurements taken at 3:00 and 9:00

From Table 3, it can be seen that the average wall thickness for the upper arc segment produced using Polymer C is 8,72 cm as compared to the average wall thickness for the lower arc segment which is 13,32 cm. This represents a wall differential using Polymer C of approximately 53%. Similar analysis conducted on the pipe profile produced from Polymer I reveals an average wall thickness for the upper arc segment of 10,24 cm

versus that of the lower arc segment of 10,86 cm. This represents a differential when using Polymer I of approximately 6%.

The data presented in the Figure and Tables 2 and 3 represent readily identifiable improvements in wall uniformity resulting from extrusion of Polymer I as opposed to Polymer C, polyethylene polymers which were extruded under identical conditions. Further, this uniformity in wall thickness (reduction in wall sag) was obtained while also achieving a 5% improvement in throughput of 27 kg/hr in pipe production as previously mentioned.

10

15

20

25

30

Claims:

1. Polymer of ethylene which has :
a μ_0/μ_2 ratio higher than 13,05; and
a high load melt index HLMI lower than 8 g / 10 min.
2. Polymer according to claim 1, featuring a density D (measured according to
5 ASTM D 792 standard) comprised between 930 and 955 kg / m³.
3. Polymer according to claim 1, featuring a Pent test value (determined in
accordance with ASTM F 1473-94 standard) higher than 150.
4. Polymer according to claim 1, featuring a polydispersity index greater than 50.
5. Process for the preparation of a polymer of ethylene which has a μ_0/μ_2 ratio
10 higher than 13,05 and a high load melt index HLMI lower than 8 g / 10 min wherein
ethylene, and possibly at least one higher alpha-olefin, is contacted, under slurry
polymerization conditions, at a temperature comprised between 80 and 110 °C, in a
hydrocarbon diluent, with a catalyst comprising chromium supported on a silica-titania
support.
- 15 6. Pipe comprising the polymer of ethylene according to claim 1.
7. Use, for the manufacture of pipes by extrusion, of the polymer of ethylene
according to claim 1.

ABSTRACT


POLYMER OF ETHYLENE

The invention relates to a polymer of ethylene which has a μ_0/μ_2 ratio higher than 13,05 and a high load melt index HLMI lower than 8 g/ 10 min.

It also relates to a process for the preparation of this polymer wherein ethylene, and possibly at least one higher alpha-olefin, is contacted, preferably under slurry polymerization conditions, at a temperature comprised between 80 and 110 °C, in a hydrocarbon diluent, with a catalyst comprising chromium supported on a silica-titania support.


Finally, it relates to a pipe which can be moulded from this polymer.

FIGURE



13,8

Polymer C Profile



10,2

Polymer I Profile

Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/EP04/012745

International filing date: 05 November 2004 (05.11.2004)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: GB
Number: 0326415.7
Filing date: 12 November 2003 (12.11.2003)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.